

<p>TROG 08.03 (RAVES) A Phase III Multi-Centre Randomised Trial Comparing Adjuvant Radiotherapy (RT) with Early Salvage RT in Patients with Positive Margin or Extraprostatic Disease Following Radical Prostatectomy</p>	<p>Patient Initials <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/></p> <p>Reg Number <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/></p>	<p>QA REVIEW Checklist [QA2]</p> <p>RADIOTHERAPY PLANNING DATA For Timely Review</p> <p>Page 1/4</p>
---	---	---

- As per protocol, the following information is required for timely review of all patients in Arm 1 (ART) and all patients in Arm 2 (SRT) who receive radiotherapy. **Submission of planning data is required at least one week prior to the RT start date.**
 - Copies of all information/documents should not reveal any personal identifying information, and should be labelled with the patient initials and registration number.
 - All treatment planning data must be submitted in DICOM-RT or RTOG format. DRRs may be submitted in .jpg format. All treatment planning data and forms to be upload through CQMS via the TROG website: www.TROG.com.au
- Initial submission for timely review
- Re-submission per reviewer request or if changes were made to the plan after initial submission

RADIOTHERAPY PLANNING (due at least 1 week prior to radiotherapy start date)

Enclosed (tick boxes)

Documentation (photocopy or duplicate copy)

Yes No

RT Treatment Plans (RTOG or DICOM format). *The plan should be submitted with contours defined according to protocol and **any non-applicable contours deleted.***

Was a two phase plan used? Yes* No

*If yes, treatment plans for both phases are required to be submitted.

Yes* No

Was the patient treated using an IMRT technique?

*Refer to RAVES Quality Assurance and Credentialing Requirements for Sites Using IMRT Techniques

Yes No N/A

IMRT Physics QA dosimetry report submitted (only if using inverse planned IMRT)

Yes No

DRRs

Yes No

Screen dump(s) of DVH of the following structures (as jpeg electronic images):

CTV PTV Rectum

Yes No

Screen dump of the axial central-axis and mid-sagittal with isodoses (as jpeg electronic image or hard copy). Max: 100%, 95%, 90%, 70%, 50%, 20%.

Yes No

Rectum contoured- External surface of the rectum shall be named "**Rectum**" at the treatment planning computer and should be contoured as a solid organ superiorly from the recto-sigmoid junction (where the rectum turns horizontally into the sigmoid, usually at the inferior border of the sacro-iliac joint) to 15mm inferior to the inferior CTV border.

Yes No

Left femur Contoured- Shall be named "**LF**" at the treatment planning computer and will be contoured from the acetabulum to the inferior edge of the treatment field.

Yes No

Bladder Contoured- The whole external wall of the bladder should be named "**Bladder**" at the treatment planning computer and should be contoured to the slice superior to the anastomosis.

Yes No

Anastomosis Contoured

Yes No

Anal Canal contoured- (optional). Shall be named "**AC**"

Yes No

Pathology report: Required at initial submission for timely review. Not required for any re-submissions

Section 1:

1. What type of Treatment Planning System did you use?

<input type="checkbox"/> Eclipse	<input type="checkbox"/> Plato	<input type="checkbox"/> Theraplan	<input type="checkbox"/> Xio
<input type="checkbox"/> Oncentra	<input type="checkbox"/> Pinnacle	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	
2. What is the Version number of your planning system? _____
3. What algorithm did you use? _____
4. Was heterogeneity accounted for in your plan? Yes No
5. If contrast was used in the bladder, was the heterogeneity correction for the bladder adjusted to account for lack of contrast during treatment (eg a "bulk correction") applied? Yes No

Section 2: Planning Data

6. Were MRI data available to assist with planning? Yes No
7. If yes, was the MRI image data set fused with CT for planning? Yes No
8. CT scan orientation: Head in Head out Prone Supine
9. Specify where the dose is prescribed:

<input type="checkbox"/> Centre of volume	<input type="checkbox"/> Isocentre	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify _____
---	------------------------------------	---
10. What are the co-ordinates of the following dose prescription points?

Prescription Point	Coordinates (cm)
X (right +ve/ left):	
Y (sup +ve/inf):	
Z (ant +ve/post)	

11. Prescribed dose Phase I: Gy No. of fractions
12. Was a two phase technique used? Yes No
13. Phase II (if applicable): Gy No. of fractions
14. Rectum data: Percentage receiving 40Gy % 60Gy %
15. Left Femur data: Percentage receiving 35Gy % 45Gy % 60Gy %

<p>TROG 08.03 (RAVES)</p> <p>A Phase III Multi-Centre Randomised Trial Comparing Adjuvant Radiotherapy (RT) with Early Salvage RT in Patients with Positive Margin or Extraprostatic Disease Following Radical Prostatectomy</p>	<p>Patient Initials <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/></p> <p>Reg Number <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/></p>	<p>QA REVIEW Checklist [QA2]</p> <p>RADIOTHERAPY PLANNING DATA For Timely Review</p> <p>Page 3/4</p>
---	---	--

Section 2: Planning Data, *continued*

16. **Beam arrangements** for each gantry angle: **Phase I**

Gantry Angle (deg)	Field size (cm)	Radiation energy	Weight	Blocks/MLC Margin

Phase II (if applicable):

Gantry Angle (deg)	Field size (cm)	Radiation energy	Weight	Blocks/MLC Margin

Section 3: Linear Accelerator Details

17. **Manufacturer:** Elekta Siemens Varian
- Other, please describe: _____
18. **Model name** (e.g. Primus): _____
19. **Departmental Identifier** (e.g. Unit 1): _____

Section 4: Clinical Data

20. 3DCRT, did you reduce the posterior CTV to PTV margin to less than 1 cm?
Please note: Margin reduction for IMRT NOT permitted
- Yes No If yes, provide justification and describe where this margin was reduced:
- _____
- _____

<p>TROG 08.03 (RAVES) A Phase III Multi-Centre Randomised Trial Comparing Adjuvant Radiotherapy (RT) with Early Salvage RT in Patients with Positive Margin or Extraprostatic Disease Following Radical Prostatectomy</p>	<p>Patient Initials <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/></p> <p>Reg Number <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/></p>	<p>QA REVIEW Checklist [QA2]</p> <p>RADIOTHERAPY PLANNING DATA For Timely Review</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 4/4</p>
---	---	--

Section 4: Clinical Data, *continued*

21. Are there any known deviations from the protocol? Yes No.
If yes, provide details that may justify deviations from the protocol recommendations: _____

22. If a two phase technique was used, include any details that may provide justification for using a 2 phase treatment.

23. List any attached clinical data:

24. If there are delays or any information is not available, please record the reasons:

To facilitate timely review, please provide comprehensive notes.

Section 5: Submission Notes

Radiation Oncologist: _____

Email: _____ Daytime Tel: _____ Mobile: _____

Contact details are requested to avoid delay in the timely review process.

Form completed by:

Name: _____ Date: _____

Email: _____

Telephone: _____

IMPORTANT: NOTIFY TROG OFFICE ONCE DATA SUBMISSION IS COMPLETE

Email: qa@trog.com.au

If you have any queries regarding the information required for review, please contact Melissa Crain via email or phone +61 2 401 43905.

<p>Please upload completed form into CQMS Version 4: 28 January 2010</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Verified <input type="checkbox"/> Entered	CTC STAFF ONLY
--	---	-----------------------